



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2391/2019**

RENU KAPOOR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Puneet Goel, Advocate (through
VC) alongwith Petitioner in Person
(in Court).

versus

**DIRECTOR OF PRIMARY EDUCATION,
EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION & ORS**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kumar Rajesh Singh, Standing
Counsel, MCD and Ms. Punam
Singh, Advocate for R-1.
Mr. Pramod Gupta, Ms. Nicole
Gomez, Mr. Harsh Jaiswal and Ms.
Adyanshi Kashyap, Advocates for
R-2 to R-4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

28.05.2024

%

(The proceeding has been conducted through Hybrid Mode)

1. After talks between the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for respondent nos.2 to 4 were held, it appears that the parties have been able to resolve their disputes.
2. Mr. Gupta, learned counsel appearing for respondent nos.2 to 4 submits that the respondent nos.2 to 4 have agreed to pay a sum of Rs.17,50,000/- as full and final settlement to the petitioner *qua* all the disputes pending between the parties.
3. Mr. Goel, learned counsel appearing through video



conferencing for the petitioner and the petitioner Ms. Renu Kapoor physically present in person in Court, agreed that the disputes have been resolved and the full and final settlement amount of Rs.17,50,000/- is acceptable to the petitioner.

4. In that view of the matter, having regard to the fact that the parties have resolved their disputes, nothing further survives for consideration in this petition. It would be relevant to note the following cases which are pending between the parties which the petitioner would withdraw unconditionally:

S.No.	Particulars	Court
1.	W.P.(C) 2391/2019 Renu Kapoor vs. Director of Primary Education, East Delhi Municipal Corporation & Ors.	High Court of Delhi
2.	W.P.(C) 2167/2016 Renu Kapoor vs. Director of Primary Education & Ors.	High Court of Delhi
3.	CRL.M.C. 6720/2022 Renu Kapoor vs. Parduman Ahuja & Ors.	High Court of Delhi
4.	CT. Case 52496/2016 Renu Kapoor vs. Yogdhan Ahuja etc.	Karkardooma Court (Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, East)
5.	CT. Case 53224/2016 Renu Kapoor vs. Yogdhan Ahuja etc.	Karkardooma Court (Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, East)

5. Mr. Goel, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as also Mr. Gupta, learned counsel appearing for respondent nos.2 to 4 submit that there are two more cases pending before the learned Trial



Court, bearing CT Case 46528/2016 captioned as Smt. Neelima Wahi vs. Yogdhyan Ahuja Chairman etc. and CT Case 53415/2016 captioned as Smt. Prem Lata vs. Yogdhan Ahuja etc., where the petitioner is to appear as a witness.

6. Mr. Goel, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has undertaken to cooperate with the respondent nos.2 to 4 and not to go against the interests of the school in so far as the aforesaid cases are concerned.

7. Ms. Renu Kapoor (petitioner), who is appearing in person, is bound by the aforesaid settlement terms. A sum of Rs.16,00,000/- by way of a Cheque bearing No.434849 dated 28.05.2024 drawn on ICICI Bank, Preet Vihar Branch, Delhi, is handed over to Ms. Kapoor, petitioner by Mr. Gupta, learned counsel on behalf of respondent nos.2 to 4, with the assurance that the same will be honoured, as and when presented to the bank.

8. Mr. Goel, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would withdraw all her pending petitions on or before 09.06.2024. The respondent nos.2 to 4 are directed to pay the balance sum of Rs.1,50,000/- on 09.06.2024 subject to the withdrawal of the pending petitions as noted above.

9. Mr. Goel, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that there is an FIR No.237/2012, under Section 468/471 IPC, registered at P.S. Preet Vihar, Delhi, got registered by the petitioner against respondent nos.2 to 4, pending before the Court of Ms. Vasundra Azad, ACMM in case titled State vs. Yogdhayam Ahuja & Ors. He submits that the charge-sheet is yet to be filed in that case. He further submits that the



petitioner would have no objection in case, the respondent nos.2 to 4 file an appropriate petition before this Court seeking quashing of the said FIR and the petitioner would participate and cooperate in the same unconditionally.

10. Mr. Gupta, learned counsel for respondent nos.2 to 4 submits that the school shall cooperate with the petitioner in so far as the formalities regarding release of Provident Fund is concerned on their part. Mr. Gupta submits that as and when Mr. Goel furnishes all the requisite documents, the school will endorse it and will hand it back expeditiously to Mr. Goel, learned counsel for the petitioner for further process.

11. Copy of the cheque in question alongwith the list of cases is taken on record.

12. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of.

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

MAY 28, 2024/
kct