



\$~60

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **W.P.(C) 4022/2024 & CM APPLs. 16407/2024, 33697/2024**  
**SUKHVINDER KAUR** .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sumit Gaba, Adv.

versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mayank Bamniyal, ASC for  
MCD  
Mr. Raghvendra Upadhyay, Panel  
Counsel with Ms. Purnima Jain and  
Mr. Vaibhav Tripathi, Advs. for R-3  
& 4

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV**

**ORDER**

%

**09.09.2024**

1. The latest Status Report dated 05.09.2024 has been filed by the respondent-MCD, which reads as under:-

*“Affidavit of Sh. Pradeep Kumar Chauhan, aged about 59 years, Executive Engineer (B)-II, West Zone, Shivaji Enclave, New Delhi on behalf of respondent No. 1/Municipal Corporation of Delhi.*

*1. That we are presently working as Executive Engineer (B)-II, West Zone, and are fully conversant with the facts of the case on the basis of record maintained and made available in this regard and as such are competent to depose and swear the present affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.1 / Municipal Corporation of Delhi in our official capacity as stated above.*

*2. That in continuation of earlier report filed by the respondent No.1/MCD, it is further submitted that another demolition program was fixed for 27.08.2024. On that day during course of action remaining side walls and*



*columns has been demolished and made unusable. Photographs taken at the time of demolition action are annexed herewith as Annexure-‘A’ (Colly).*

*3. That further after the execution of demolition action on 27.08.2023 a Strict Vigil (Watch and Ward) letter has been sent to SHO PS-Tilak Nagar, New Delhi vide No, D/538 EE(B)-II/WZ/2024/AE dated 28.08.2024 with a request to keep strict watch and ward over the said demolished property. The copy of Strict Vigil (Watch and Ward) letter dated 28.08.2024 sent to SHO PS-Tilak Nagar, New Delhi is annexed herewith as **Annexure-‘B’.***

2. It is thus seen that the demolition/sealing action has already taken place.

3. If the petitioner has any grievance with respect to any part of the building which, according to him, has not been demolished, the petitioner can agitate the same before the Special Task Force (STF) which has been constituted in pursuance of the directions of the Supreme Court *vide* order dated 24.04.2018 in W.P. (C) 4677/1985 titled as ***M.C. Mehta v. Union of India.***

4. Reserving the aforesaid liberty in favour of the petitioner, the instant petition stands disposed of alongwith pending applications.

**PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J.**

**SEPTEMBER 9, 2024**

*p'ma*