



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 3916/2024, CM APPL. 16176/2024, CM APPL. 16177/2024
& CM APPL. 16178/2024
JAI BHAGWAN & ORS. Petitioners

Through: Mr. Advocate (Appearance not given)
versus

SDM & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr. Anupam Srivastava, ASC with
Mr. Abilash Mathur and Mr. Deepak
Jain, Advocates for R-1

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

% **ORDER**
15.03.2024

(The proceeding has been conducted through Hybrid Mode)

1. The petitioners challenge the order 20.05.2022 passed by the Revenue Assistant. On a pointed query put by this Court, learned counsel submits there is an appeal provision provided in DLR Act, 1954.
2. In view of that, this Court cannot entertain the present writ petition while there is an efficacious alternative remedy available under an enactment providing for an appeal and second appeal uptill the stage of the Financial Commissioner. In other words, the Act is a complete code in itself.
3. As such, the petition is dismissed on the question of jurisdiction.
4. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

MARCH 15, 2024/ms