



\$~106

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 2115/2024**

VIVEK MOHINDRA & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Kamran Malik, Ms. Anurita and
Mr. Mobin Ullah, Advocates with
petitioners in person.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF N.C.T OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Arpana, P.S. Punjabi Bagh.
Mr. Ajay Digpaul, Ms. Arti Bansal,
Mr. Kamal R. Digpaul, Ms. Pinky
Yadav and Ms. Ritu Mohindra,
Advocates for respondent No.2 with
respondent No.2 in person through
V.C. alongwith her father who is also
her SPA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **15.03.2024**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 289/2016 registered under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Punjabi Bagh, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 are in-laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Sabharwal, learned APP for the State submits that in the present



case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes vide Memorandum of Understanding/Mutual Divorce Settlement Agreement on 16.02.2024. In terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 29.02.2024 passed by the Family Court-01, South East District, Saket New Delhi in HMA No. 338/2024. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.3.50 crores, as full and final settlement, shall be paid by petitioner No. 1 to respondent No. 2 towards her claims qua maintenance, stridhan, alimony, etc. It is further submitted that entire settled amount of Rs.3.50 crores has already been paid to respondent No.2.

5. Petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their respective counsel as well as by I.O./SI Arpana, P.S. Punjabi Bagh.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are



hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MARCH 15, 2024

ga