



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2089/2024**

SH. KISHOR SHARMA & ANR.Petitioner

Through: Mr.Ikrant Sharma, adv. with
petitioner in person.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr.Raghvinder Varma, APP for the
State.

Mr. Sanjeet Kumar Trivedi and
Mr.SandeepKr.Dwivedi, Adv. for
R-2.

SI Sachin, PS Seemapuri

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SHARMA

ORDER

06.08.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed for quashing of case FIR No.603/2021 registered under Sections 135/150 of Indian Electricity Act (Amend.) 2003 at PS Seemapuri, Shahdra District.
2. As per the case of the petitioners, an inspection was conducted by a team on behalf of BSES Yamuna Power Ltd. on 27.07.2021. At the time of inspection, an extra illegal circuit was found connected to the terminals of the original meter. Therefore, it was found that the user was in direct theft of electricity by attaching an illegal circuit
3. Pursuant to the inspection, an FIR No.603/2021 was registered against



the petitioners under Sections 135/150 of the Indian Electricity Act (Amend.) 2003. Thereafter, the petitioners approached the respondent No. 2 for payment of the bill and claims of all their debts/compensation/fine.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after approaching respondent No.2, the petitioners received a wrong bill amount for which the petitioner had to file applications in order to seek the correct and appropriate bill amount. Learned counsel further stated that after due procedure, the correct bill was received after about fourteen to fifteen months.
5. Therefore, the learned counsel submitted that in August, 2022, the full and final payment of Rs.15,55,000/- has been made. Subsequently, the respondents have issued the No Objection Certificate in favour of the petitioners.
6. Both the parties submit that they have voluntarily settled the matter.
7. It is settled that the inherent powers under section 482 of Cr.P.C. are required to be exercised to secure the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process of any court. Such powers of the High Court can be exercised in matters that have been settled amicably, and therefore is not limited to matrimonial disputes only. The same has been observed in ***Kulwinder Singh &Ors v. State of Punjab*** 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052.
8. In ***Ravi Kumar &Anr. v. State (NCT of Delhi) and Anr.*** CRL.M.C. 2678/2018 the High Court of Delhi was pleased to quash an FIR under Section 135 of Indian Electricity Act (Amend.) 2003 pursuant to an amicable settlement between the parties.



9. Taking into account the totality of facts and circumstances of the case, this court considers that the parties have entered into an amicable settlement out of their own free will. No purpose will be served in continuing with the trial.
10. In view of the No objection certificate, given by the respondent, the FIR No.603/2021 registered under Section 135/150 of Indian Electricity Act (Amend.) 2003 at PS Seemapuri and all the other proceedings emanating therefrom is quashed.
11. The petition stands disposed of.

DINESH KUMAR SHARMA, J

AUGUST 6, 2024

rb/kr