



\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 846/2024**

AJAY KUMAR TAKSHAK

..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Siddharth Yadav, Advocate.**

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: **Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, ASC**
(criminal) for the State with **Mr. Punit Mittal, Advocate.**
SI Suresh Chand, P.S. Tilak Marg.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

14.06.2024

CRL.M.A. 18319/2024 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The application is accordingly disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 18318/2024 Extension of parole granted to the petitioner for a period of sixty (60) days

3. The present application under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. seeks the following prayers:

“a) Pass an order granting extension for a period of sixty (60) days to the petitioner of parole granted vide order dated 09.4.2024;
b) Pass such further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper.”

4. Issue notice.
5. Learned Standing Counsel for the State accepts notice. Let the



documents annexed with the present application be verified and a report with regard to the same be placed on record before the next date of hearing.

6. Re-notify on 03.07.2024, before the Roster Bench.

7. In the meantime, the parole granted to the present petitioner/applicant *vide* order dated 09.04.2024 is extended till the next date of hearing on the same terms and conditions.

8. Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for necessary information and compliance.

9. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court *forthwith*.

AMIT SHARMA, J
(VACATION JUDGE)

JUNE 14, 2024/bsr

Click here to check corrigendum, if any