



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 208/2024**

RAJESH KUMAR JAIN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Deepak Kr. Agarwal and Mr.
Vikas Pal, Advocates.

versus

TATA TELESERVICES LTD.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Mansoor Ali Shoket, Mr. Nitin
Kala, Mr. Kunal Singh, Mr. Tanmay
Jain and Ms. Kanishka Rawat,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER
15.03.2024

%

I.A. 5848/2024 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 208/2024

3. The petition under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, has been filed on behalf of the petitioner for extension of the mandate of the learned Sole Arbitrator by three months w.e.f. 21.02.2024.
4. The mandate of the learned Arbitrator has last expired on 21.02.2024.
5. Considering the submissions made and on the request of the parties, the mandate of the learned Arbitrator is extended by four months from the date of the mandate expired.



6. The application is accordingly disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MARCH 15, 2024/RS