



\$~23

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **CRL.M.C. 1327/2022, CRL.M.A. 8031/2022 &**  
**CRL.M.A. 34124/2023**  
CHARNJEET VERMA & ANR. ....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nishant Kumar & Mr.  
Vaibhav Kumar, Advs.

versus

STATE & ANR. ....Respondents  
Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the  
State.  
IO SI Neelam, PS Anand  
Vihar Railway Station.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**  
**14.11.2024**

%

1. Notice is yet to be served on the respondent.
2. The present petition has been pending since March, 2022.
3. The petitioner has prayed for quashing of the FIR No. 285/2021 dated 13.09.2021 registered under Section 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station G.T.B. Enclave.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are the father-in-law and mother-in-law respectively of the Respondent No. 2 / complainant. He submits that the petitioners are Super Senior Citizen aged 75 and 65 years of age.
5. He submits that the petitioners have been unnecessarily implicated in the present case. He submits that Respondent No. 2 / complainant was married to the petitioners' son. He submits that their son and the complainant lived in Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh after the marriage.
6. He submits that the marriage between the petitioners' son and complainant happened in the year 2005 and the present FIR



has been registered belatedly after their son and complainant had a matrimonial acrimony. He submits that at that time the petitioners were not even residing with the complainant.

7. He vehemently contends that the petitioner No. 1 being permanently disabled in any case cannot be accused of cruelty on the complainant.

8. Concededly, the chargesheet has already been filed in the present case and the matter is now listed for arguments on charge on 27.01.2025. The petitioners are at liberty take all arguments before the learned Trial Court.

9. Considering the aforesaid circumstances, this Court does not consider it apposite to entertain the present petition at this stage.

10. The petitioners are at liberty to take all arguments before the learned Trial Court at the arguments on charge.

11. Needless to state that the petitioners are at liberty approach this Court, in case, any grievance remains in future.

12. However, considering the fact that the petitioners are Senior Citizens and the Petitioner No. 1 is physically handicapped, this Court considers it apposite to exempt the petitioners from personal appearance till framing of charges before the learned Trial Court.

13. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**NOVEMBER 14, 2024**

**“SK”**