



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 38/2020, CM Nos.26129/2021, 31552/2021,
44982/2021, 32047/2022, 21659/2024 & 27038/2024**

RAJRANI BANSAL

.....Appellant

Through: Ms Aashna Talwar and Ms Sajal Arora,
Advs.

versus

KUNJ BIHARI BANSAL

.....Respondent

Through: Mr Visheshwar Shrivastav and Mr
Manoj Kumar Gautam, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

27.08.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. We may note that the appellant was the wife of the respondent. The expenses incurred for engaging a caretaker for the appellant were being reimbursed to the daughter by the respondent.
2. The learned counsel for the respondent says that although the appellant has expired, the respondent will pay a lump-sum amount of Rs.25,000/- towards the outstanding expenses.
3. The learned counsel for the appellant says that though the amount spent is three times of what has been offered, the daughter would be satisfied if Rs.25,000/- is paid.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 38/2020

page 1 of 2



4. Accordingly, the appeal is closed with the statement of the learned counsel for the respondent that Rs.25,000/- will be remitted by the respondent to the daughter, i.e., Ms Priya Bansal within the next ten (10) days.
5. The proof of payment of the aforesaid amount will be placed on record by the respondent.
6. The appeal is disposed of, in the aforesaid terms.
7. Consequently, the pending applications shall stand closed.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

AUGUST 27, 2024

aj

Click here to check corrigendum, if any