



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2169/2023**

SANCHIT SARIN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Devender Kumar Dhiryan, Advocate
with petitioner in person

versus

STATE OF DELHI AND ANOTHER

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Ashneet Singh, APP for State with
SI Yamini

Mr.Rohit Sood, Advocate for respondent No.2
with respondent No.2 in person and child victim
through V.C.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

23.01.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.432/2021, registered under Sections 354/354D/323/506 IPC and Sections 8/12 POCSO Act at P.S. Patel Nagar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, it is alleged that the petitioner has been following and threatening the victim.
3. The complainant, who is the mother of the child victim, states that the child victim is a major now and that she has joined the proceedings through V.C.
4. Learned APP for the State submits that in the present case the



petitioner is the only accused person and respondent No.2 and the child victim (now major) are the only complainants/victims. He further submits that the evidence is yet to begin.

5. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their disputes vide Memorandum of Settlement/ Compromise Deed dated 01.11.2021. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 and the child victim (now major) are now left with no claim whatsoever against the present petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner vide Memorandum of Settlement/Compromise Deed had agreed that he would not come in the vicinity of the residence of the complainant or visit the East Patel Nagar area.

7. The petitioner and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court and the child victim (now major) who have joined the proceedings through V.C., have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.

8. The petitioner as well as his father, who are present in the Court, have shown remorse for the petitioner's conduct and they assure that no such incident shall take place in future. Respondent No.2 as well as the victim states that they have entered into the aforesaid Memorandum of Settlement/ Compromise Deed out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. They further states that they have no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

9. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

10. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court



today.

11. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of costs of Rs.25,000/- by the petitioner to be paid to the child victim (now major) by way of a Demand Draft through Investigating Officer within a period of four weeks from today.

12. Proof evidencing receipt of deposit shall be filed in the Court.

13. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

14. The Registry shall list the matter before this Court in case receipt of costs to be paid by the petitioner is not filed within the stipulated time period.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

JANUARY 23, 2024

na