



\$~93

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3228/2024**

SHAIFALI SINGH RATHORE

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nishant Mandal and Mr. Raman
Raj Gangwar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh Rudy,
SPC with Mr. Amit Acharya, GP and
Ms. Usha Jamnal, Advocates for R-1
and 2.
Mr. Vikas Chopra and Mr. Neeraj
Kumar, Advocates for R-3.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **04.03.2024**

CM APPL. 13281/2024 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 3228/2024 & CM APPL. 13280/2024

1. Learned Counsel for the Respondent states that the Quality Council of India has been notified under Section 14(3) of the Administrative Tribunals Act and, therefore, since the petition raises service dispute, the Central Administrative Tribunal is the appropriate forum.
2. Confronted with this, learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the Central Administrative Tribunal.



3. Permission and liberty, as prayed for, is granted.
4. It is made clear that the preliminary objection was raised by the Respondent before the learned Counsel for Petitioner commenced his arguments and therefore this Court has not made any observation on the merits of the case.
5. The petition is disposed of as withdrawn along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

MARCH 4, 2024

hsk