



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RC.REV. 77/2019, CM APPL. 6272/2019 & CM APPL. 40668/2022
RAJ KUMAR MITTALPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate

versus

SANTOSH JAINRespondent
Through: Mr. Ashok Gurnani, Mr. Anurag Jain,
Mr. Harshit Gupta, Ms. Divya
Maghan and Mr. Abhishek Singh,
Advocates

CORAM:
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN

ORDER
23.07.2024

%

1. The present revision petition is filed under section 25B(8) of the Delhi Rent Control Act, 1958 to impugn the order dated 30.08.2018 passed by Ms. Susheel Bala Dagar, CCJ cum ARC, Pilot Court, District Central Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi in eviction petition titled as **Santosh Jain V Raj Kumar Mittal** whereby the application for leave to defend filed by the petitioner was dismissed as a consequence of which an eviction order was passed in respect of the tenanted premises.
2. Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Ashok Gurnani, Advocate for the respondent stated that the petitioner and the respondent have settled their disputes vide settlement dated 19.07.2024 recorded in the Delhi Mediation Centre, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi.
3. Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner in view of the



settlement seeks permission to withdraw the present petition, along with pending applications.

4. Accordingly, the present petition, along with pending applications is allowed to be dismissed as withdrawn.

5. The counsel for the petitioner is also directed to place the copy of the settlement on record within 07 days.

DR. SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN, J

JULY 23, 2024

Sk/abk