



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 1791/2024**
BHUPENDER SINGH & ANR. Petitioners
Through: Mr.Dalip Singh, Adv.

versus

THE STATE VERSUS GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.
..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Satinder Singh Bawa, APP
with SI Pardeep Kumar
Respondent no.2 in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

% **04.03.2024**
CRL.M.A. 6834/2024 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 1791/2024

2. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (in short, 'Cr.P.C.') seeking quashing of FIR No.583/2014 registered at Police Station: Gazipur, Delhi under Sections 498A/406/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short, 'IPC'), along with all other proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a settlement.

3. Issue notice.

4. Notice is accepted by Mr.Satinder Singh Bawa, learned APP and by the respondent no.2, who appears in person.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the subject FIR was an offshoot of the matrimonial discord between the parties,



that is, the petitioner no. 1 and the respondent no. 2.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have amicably settled all their *inter se* disputes. They have filed their respective affidavits affirming the settlement between them.

7. The respondent no.2, who is present in person and has been duly identified by the Investigating Officer (IO), affirms that she has settled all the disputes with the petitioners of her own free will and without any coercion. The respondent no.2 submits that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

8. I have perused the contents of the FIR and also the settlement between the parties.

9. As the disputes between the parties arose out of a matrimonial relationship, and now the same have been amicably settled and the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 are residing together happily, and also looking into the nature of the allegations made in the complaint, in my opinion, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the FIR alive. In fact, it would rather create further acrimony between the parties and will be an unnecessary burden on the State exchequer.

10. Guided by the principles enunciated by the Supreme Court in its judgments in *Jitendra Raghuvanshi v. Babita Raghuvanshi*, (2013) 4 SCC 58; *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303; *Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinbhai Karmur & Ors. v. State of Gujarat & Ors.*, (2017) 9 SCC 641; and, *State of Haryana & Ors. v. Bhajan Lal & Ors.*, 1992 Supp (1) SCC 335, this Court deems it appropriate, in the interest of justice, to exercise its inherent powers



under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. to quash the FIR and all the proceedings emanating therefrom.

11. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. FIR No.583/2014 registered at Police Station: Gazipur, Delhi under Sections 498A/406/506/34 of the IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom against the petitioners are quashed.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MARCH 4, 2024/ns/rp

Click here to check corrigendum, if any