



\$~83 to 85

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3075/2024 & CM APPL. 12685/2024**

MANOJ MOHAN AGARWAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Sibal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. M. A. Niyazi, Ms. Anamika Ghai
Niyazi, Mr. Vaibhav Bhargav, Ms.
Kirti Bhardwaj, Ms. Nehmat Sethi,
Mr. Arquam Ali and Mr. Rishabh,
Advocates.

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Agnihotri, Additional
Standing Counsel with Mr. Satvik
Rai, Advocate.
Mr. Yoginder Handoo, ASC with Mr.
Ashwin Kataria, Mr. Ashim Shridhar,
Advocates and Mr. Hari Singh, D.D.
Tax.

+ **W.P.(C) 3085/2024 & CM APPL. 12733/2024**

VERINDER AHLUWALIA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Sibal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. M. A. Niyazi, Ms. Anamika Ghai
Niyazi, Mr. Vaibhav Bhargav, Ms.
Kirti Bhardwaj, Ms. Nehmat Sethi,
Mr. Arquam Ali and Mr. Rishabh,
Advocates.

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

..... Respondent



Through: Mr. Vaibhav Agnihotri, Additional Standing Counsel with Mr. Raghvendra Upadhyay, Asst. Standing Counsel and Mr. Vaibhav Tripathi, Mr. Nikhil Thakur, Advocates.

+ W.P.(C) 3151/2024 & CM APPL. 12924/2024

M/S VOLGA RESTAURANT

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akshay Makhija, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Neelam Deol, Mr. S. Seth, Mr. Abhiroop Rathore, Ms. Tejasvi Choudhary and Ms. Shweta Kumar, Advocates.

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Neeraj Kumar, ASC for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

07.03.2024

%

1. Challenge in present writ petitions is against the action taken by the Respondent/NDMC in sealing the premises bearing No.B-21, Ground Floor, Block-B, Plot No.5, Connaught Place, New Delhi in W.P.(C) 3075/2024, the premises bearing No.B-20, Ground Floor, Block-B, Plot No.5, Connaught Place, New Delhi in W.P.(C) 3085/2024 and the premises bearing No.B-19, Block B, Connaught Place, New Delhi in W.P.(C) 3151/2024 on the ground of arrears of property tax.



2. After some arguments, learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent/NDMC, on instructions, states that the premises in question will be de-sealed. However, he seeks liberty to take further action for attachment of rent and initiate other proceedings in accordance with NDMC Act, 1994. Liberty, as sought for, is granted.

3. Needless to state that other consequential actions will also be taken on account of de-sealing the premises in question.

4. In view of the above, no further Orders are required to be passed in the present writ petitions. The writ petitions are disposed of, along with pending application(s), if any. The questions of law are left open.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

MARCH 7, 2024

S. Zakir