



\$~85

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CM(M) 459/2023**

ADITYA BIRLA FASHION AND RETAIL LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: None.

versus

MRS SAROJ TANDONRespondent

Through: Mr. M.A. Niyazi with Ms. Anamika
Ghai Niyai, Ms. Kirti Bhardwaj and
Ms. Nehmat Sethi, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

09.10.2024

%

REVIEW PET. 379/2024

1. Respondent/counter-claimant seeks review of judgment dated 02.09.2024.
2. During course of the arguments, Sh. Niyazi, learned counsel for respondent states that the limited reason for seeking review is that the observations contained in the above order might not prejudice the mind of the Court, while dealing with his counter-claim.
3. Keeping in mind the above and also after going through the above said judgment passed by this Court on 02.09.2024, the present petition is disposed of with the direction that any observation appearing in the above said order, shall not be taken adversely by the learned Trial Court while considering said counter-claim, as even otherwise, there



was no observation by this Court with respect to the “merits of the counter-claim” while dealing with the above said CM(M) 459/2023.

4. The application stands disposed of.

MANOJ JAIN, J

OCTOBER 9, 2024
st