



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2987/2024**

KANYA GURUKUL COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

.... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat and Mr.
Ashok Kumar, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

.... Respondent

Through: Mr. Balbir Singh, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, Mr. N.K.
Bhatnagar, Ms. Pratishtha Majumdar and
Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

%

28.02.2024

1. The grievance of the petitioner in this writ petition is regarding the time that has been granted for institutions which seek to start the ITEP course, to submit the requisite documentation in order to enable them to do so.

2. It is contended in the writ petition that the time of one month that has been provided by the NCTE is insufficient.

3. Mr. Balbir Singh, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the NCTE submits that, while the argument that the time is insufficient may be open to contest, so far as the petitioner is concerned, as it is situated in the State of Haryana and there appears to be certain



genuine difficulties with respect to complying with the stipulated time limit in respect of institutions situated in the said State, if the petitioner-institution approaches the NCTE, the NCTE would be agreeable to reasonably extend the time for compliance.

4. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the NCTE which, in accordance with the submission made by Mr. Balbir Singh, would take a reasonable view in the matter and consider the case for extension of time limit for submitting the requisite documentation to start the ITEP course.

5. Should the petitioner continue to remain aggrieved, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive this writ petition by means of an appropriate application without having to file a fresh writ petition.

6. This writ petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

FEBRUARY 28, 2024

dsn

Click here to check corrigendum, if any