



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2986/2024**

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.Ravi Prakash, CGSC,
Ms.Taha Yasin, Mr.Yasharth
Shukla, Advs.

versus

EX/NK CHINNA VEDIYAPPAN

.....Respondent

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

ORDER

%

12.11.2024

CM APPL. 65973/2024

1. This application has been filed by the petitioners praying for correction of typographical error in recording the date of the Impugned Order in the Judgment dated 04.09.2024 of this Court.
2. As it is only a typographical error, the same is corrected and paragraph 7 of the Judgment dated 04.09.2024 shall now be read as under:

“7. Vide its impugned order dated 13.12.2022, the learned Tribunal, by following its earlier decisions in OA no. 60/2013, titled ‘Bhani Devi v.Union of India’, OA no. 131/2017 titled ‘Union of India v. Ex MohantyT’, and OA no. 1238/2016 titled, ‘Smt Shama Kaur v Union of India’, rejected the petitioners’ plea that the provisions for condonation of shortfall in service under the PRA of 1961 would not be applicable to the personnel serving in the DSC. The learned Tribunal came to a conclusion that in accordance with paragraph



125 of the PRA of 1961, the respondent was entitled to seek condonation of shortfall in qualifying service, as long as the said shortfall was not more than 12 months. Consequently, the learned Tribunal condoned the shortfall of 280 days in the respondent's service and directed the petitioners to release service pension to him for the service rendered by him in the DSC."

3. The application stands disposed of.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

SHALINDER KAUR, J

NOVEMBER 12, 2024/Arya/VS

Click here to check corrigendum, if any