



\$~77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 1496/2024**
MOHIT KUMAR VERMA @ SHIVAM VERMA & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Raj Kumar, Advocate wit petitioners
in person
versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP for State with
SI Sanjay Bansal, PS Bhajanpura
Ms.Astha, Advocate for respondent No.2 with
respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

22.04.2024

%

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.623/2022 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Sections 3/4 of D.P. Act at P.S. Bhajan Pura, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos.2 to 11 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute on 26.11.2022 before Learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 28.08.2023 passed by Family Court, Karkardooma Courts,



Delhi in HMA No.931/2023. It is further submitted that out of the settlement amount, the balance amount of Rs.1,00,000/- is being paid today through a demand draft bearing No.898643 dated 19.04.2024 drawn on Punjab National Bank. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid settlement with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She submits that she is satisfied with the amount given in terms of the settlement. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft handed over to her today.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 22, 2024/na