



\$~5 to 7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2621/2024
SAMIR SARDANA

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ripudaman Bhardwaj, CGSC
with Mr. Kushagra Kumar and
Mr. Abhinav Bhardwaj, Advocates
for UOI.
Mr. Kartik Seth, Mrs. Shriya Gilhotra,
Ms. Maithili Moondra, Mr. Raghav
Sharma, Mr. Saurabh Chaturvedi,
Mr. Pratiush Vishwanath and
Mr. Chiranjeev Sharma, Advocates
for GAIL.

+ W.P.(C) 2637/2024
SAMIR SARDANA

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

**THE SECRETARY CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION &
ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ripudaman Bhardwaj, CGSC
with Mr. Kushagra Kumar and
Mr. Abhinav Bhardwaj, Advocates
for UOI.
Mr. Kartik Seth, Mrs. Shriya Gilhotra,
Ms. Maithili Moondra, Mr. Raghav
Sharma, Mr. Saurabh Chaturvedi,
Mr. Pratiush Vishwanath and



Mr. Chiranjeev Sharma, Advocates
for GAIL.

+ W.P.(C) 2639/2024
SAMIR SARDANA

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

THE SECRETARY CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION &
ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Ripudaman Bhardwaj, CGSC
with Mr. Kushagra Kumar and
Mr. Abhinav Bhardwaj, Advocates
for UOI.
Mr. Kartik Seth, Mrs. Shriya Gilhotra,
Ms. Maithili Moondra, Mr. Raghav
Sharma, Mr. Saurabh Chaturvedi,
Mr. Pratiush Vishwanath and
Mr. Chiranjeev Sharma, Advocates
for GAIL.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER
18.10.2024

%

1. It is noted that there was no appearance on behalf of the Petitioner on 6th May, 2024 and 9th July, 2024. Today the position remains the same.
2. In view of the above, it is apparent that the Petitioner is not interested to continue with the present proceedings and accordingly the same are dismissed in default for non-prosecution.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

OCTOBER 18, 2024/nk