



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2620/2024**

SMT. GEETA CHANDNA

..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Joginder Sukhija, Advocate.**

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: **Mr. Avishkar Singhvi, ASC with Mr. Vivek Kumar Singh, Mr. Naved Ahmed and Mr. Deokinandan Sharma, Advocates.**
Mr. Satyakam, ASC for GNCTD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

%

22.02.2024

CM APPL. 10774/2024 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 2620/2024

1. The Petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayers:

“a) issue a writ in the nature of mandamus and or any other appropriate writ/order or direction thereby directing the Respondent to refund E-Stamp Duty for an amount of Rs.1,92,000/- as well as refund of E-registration fee for an Rs.49,124/-;

b) Pass any other relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case may also be granted in favor of the Petitioner and against the respondent.”



2. The facts of the case as stated in the writ petition are that the Petitioner on 03.10.2022 purchased a E-Stamp Certificate vide No.INDL96418933753788U for an amount of Rs.1,92,000/- from stock holding, ITO, New Delhi for execution of Sale Deed. It is stated that on the very same date, the Petitioner also purchased a E-registration fee certificate bearing No.DL1936612763127 for an amount of Rs.49,124/- from SHCIL Nehru Place, New Delhi. It is stated that since the stamp paper was not put to use, the Petitioner filed an application for refund of E-stamp before the Respondent on 03.01.2023 which has not been decided by the Respondent till date.

3. Without going into the merits of the case, this Court is inclined to dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to the Respondent to consider the application filed by the Petitioner and pass appropriate Orders in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from today.

4. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of, along with pending application(s), if any. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on the merits of the case.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

FEBRUARY 22, 2024

S. Zakir