



\$~76

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1453/2024**

RAHUL SACHDEVA & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Anshul Dokhona, Mr.Pradeep, Ms.A.
Hakung, Mr.Dani, Mr.Sushil and Mr.Nitin,
Advocates with petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with WSI Sharanyas
Mr.Hitesh Pandey, Advocate for respondent No.2
with respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

22.02.2024

CRL.M.A. 5739/2024 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 1453/2024

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.187/2017 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Nabi Karim, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.



2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and the petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos.2 and 3 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute on 28.11.2023 before the Counselling Cell, Family Courts, Rohini, Delhi. It is further stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 14.02.2023 passed by the Family Court, Rohini, Delhi in HMA No.396/2024. It was further agreed that a sum of Rs.16,00,000/- would be paid as full and final settlement by the petitioners to respondent No. 2. Out of the settlement amount, the balance amount of Rs.6,00,000/- is being paid today vide demand draft bearing No.791193 dated 19.02.2024 drawn on Punjab National Bank, Rohini, Delhi. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.
5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.
6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Settlement with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed subject to encashment of the demand draft handed over today.
7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.



8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the demand draft handed over today.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 22, 2024

na



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1434/2024**

ASHOK

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Jitten Sharma, Advocate with
petitioner in person

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Yashveer
Respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

22.02.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.259/2019 registered under Sections 354/354A/354B/323/34 IPC at P.S. Govind Puri, New Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner misbehaved and beat respondent No.2.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that in the present case the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim. He further submits that the chargesheet has been filed only against the present petitioner.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties have



entered into a settlement vide Compromise/Settlement Deed dated 19.08.2023 and in terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioner.

5. The petitioner, who is present in the Court, has been identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer. Respondent No.2, who is also present in the Court, has been identified by the Investigating Officer.

6. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and he undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Compromise/Settlement Deed out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that a connected FIR being FIR No.255/2019 registered under Sections 354/354B/509/323 IPC at P.S. Govind Puri, New Delhi has already been quashed vide order dated 19.02.2024 passed in CRL.M.C. 1349/2024.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of cost of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner with the Delhi State Legal Services Authority within a period of four weeks from today. The amount so deposited shall be utilized by the Delhi State Legal Services Authority for providing counselling/psychological support to POCSO victims requiring such assistance.



10. Proof evidencing receipt of deposit shall be filed with the Investigating Officer as well as in Court.
11. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.
12. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Member Secretary, Delhi State Legal Services Authority for information.
13. The Registry shall list the matter before this Court in case receipt of costs to be paid by the petitioner is not filed within the stipulated time period.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 22, 2024

na



\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1436/2024**

PAWAN KUMAR & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Vipin Tiwari, Ms.Priti Jaiswal,
Advocates with petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Dharmveer
Ms.Vanita, Advocate for respondent No.2
with respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

22.02.2024

%

CRL.M.A. 5676/2024 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 1436/2024

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.549/2021 registered under Sections 498A/34 IPC at P.S. Chhawala, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos. 2 to 4 are the in-laws of the complainant.



3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim. He further submits that the chargesheet is yet to be filed.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 27.05.2023. It is further stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 09.10.2023 passed by the Family Court, Saket Courts, Delhi in HMA No.3165/2023. It was further agreed that a sum of Rs.4,11,000/- would be paid as full and final settlement by petitioner No.1 to respondent No. 2. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.
5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.
6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Memorandum of Understanding with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.
7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.
8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.
9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the



aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 22, 2024

na