



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1396/2024**

DANISH AHMAD

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Manoj Kumar Sharma, Advocate
with petitioner in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms.Kiran Bairwal, APP for the State
with W/SI Deepa, PS Seelampur.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA

ORDER

%

21.02.2024

CRL.M.A. 5533/2024

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 1396/2024

1. Petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('Cr.P.C.') has been preferred on behalf of the petitioner for quashing of FIR No. 532/2018 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, registered at P.S.: Seelampur and proceedings emanating therefrom.
2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State and respondent No.2 in person appear on advance notice and accept notice.
3. During the course of submissions, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the parties have already obtained Talaq and the same



has been reflected in Talaknama/Compromise annexed with the petition. However, perusal of record reveals that in terms of Talaknama/Compromise dated 09.01.2024, it is mentioned that husband/petitioner shall orally announce for divorce on the same day of quashing of FIR. Respondent No.2 who is present in person also does not seem to be aware of the contents of the Compromise Deed/Talaqnama.

The petition has been presented without reflecting necessary details in body of petition.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the petition with liberty to file afresh after reflecting necessary details and facts, in accordance with law.

Petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J

FEBRUARY 21, 2024/v