$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ CM(M) 1917/2024

NEHA ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Navneet Goyal, Adv.

versus

SHATRUGHAN KUMAR & ORS. ..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Prerna Mehta & Mr. Rajiv M. Roy, Advs. for R3.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER

% **03.04.2024**

1. The only grievance of the petitioner in the present writ under Article 227 of the Constitution is that although the amount of compensation has been paid to her, the aforesaid amount has been deducted towards TDS which is unwarranted in law.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the Insurance Company submits that she has instructions to the effect that the amount of compensation to the tune of Rs. 4,78,510/- towards accrued interest has been separately deposited by way of a cheque before the learned Tribunal towards TDS and the amount is lying deposited with the concerned bank. The same may be released to the claimants, subject to the claimants/assesee making relevant declaration under the Income Tax Act.

3. In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent No.3/Insurance Company, the learned Tribunal is directed to release the amount of Rs.4,78,510/- to the claimant/petitioner forthwith with accrued interest, if any.

4. In view of the above CM(M) 1917/2024 is disposed of accordingly.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

APRIL 03, 2024/sa