



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 624/2024**

SUMAN ARYA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Peranav Kumar Srivastava,
Advocate.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Devender, P.S. EOW.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

22.04.2024

1. By way of present bail application filed under Section 439 read with Section 482 Cr.P.C., the applicant seeks regular bail in FIR No. 75/2020 registered under Sections 420/409/120B/174-A IPC at P.S. EOW.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that applicant has been arrayed as an accused alongwith her husband. The applicant is in custody since 23.08.2022 and the charges are yet to be framed. He further states that it was the applicant's husband who had collected money from the complainants/witnesses and deposited the same through the applicant's PhonePe, Paytm and Google Pay Apps by using applicant's mobile phone. It is submitted that the applicant is innocent and was not aware of her husband's dealings.
3. Bail application is opposed by learned APP for the State. Learned APP states that not only the applicant has received the cash amounts and



issued receipts, inasmuch as, money has been deposited in her account. He, on instructions, further submits that as per the statements of the witnesses recorded under Section 161 Cr.P.C., they have stated about the dealings with the applicant as well.

4. I have heard the learned counsels for the parties and perused the material placed on record.

5. Applicant as well as her husband are accused of collecting money from about 671 persons for sending on the pretext of sending them to Manali. The money collected was ranging from Rs.1,000/- to Rs.3,000/-. Applicant and her husband were running a tour and travel company in the name of 'Vital Welfare Company'. It is further alleged that immediately after collecting approximately Rs 25 lacs, the said company was closed. The applicant as well as her husband were also declared P.O. and Section 174A IPC was also added in the present case.

6. Considering the aforesaid, I find no ground to admit the applicant on bail, consequently, bail application is dismissed.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 22, 2024

ga