



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 11/2021**

NASEEM

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Hari Kishan, Mr. Akarsh
Sharma and Mr. Parantap Agarwal,
Advocates

versus

DR NAEEM AHMAD

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar Chandiwal,
Advocate

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER
09.02.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. In these proceedings, order of the Additional Rent Controller allowing leave to contest has been challenged by the petitioner/landlord. After part arguments, keeping in mind that trial has already commenced and petitioner/landlord has already been partly cross-examined, both sides agreed that the present petition can be disposed of with certain directions. Accordingly, as requested by both sides, this petition is disposed of with the following directions:

- (i) On 03.04.2024, when trial is listed before the Additional Rent Controller, learned counsel for respondent/tenant shall conclude cross-examination of the present petitioner PW-1.



- (ii) Within four weeks, the respondent/tenant shall file before the Trial Court a list of witnesses and as assured by counsel for respondent/tenant on instructions, he shall examine only four witnesses including the present respondent/tenant.
- (iii) In view of the ground on which leave to contest was allowed, the learned Additional Rent Controller is requested to dispose of the entire matter within a period of six months from today.
- (iv) Both sides are directed not to seek adjournments unless absolutely necessary.
- (v) To ensure compliance, a copy of this order be given *dasti* to both sides and they shall present the same before the learned Additional Rent Controller within one week.
2. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

FEBRUARY 9, 2024/rk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any