



\$~82

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1242/2024 & CRL.M.A. 4909/2024**

RISHIPAL SINGH ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. V.K. Singh, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. NCT OF DELHI & ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Ajit PS Gokulpuri, Delhi.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

15.02.2024

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 451/2016 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC read with Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act at P.S. Gokulpuri, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos. 2 to 4 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Court, Delhi Trial Court on 03.01.2018. In terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce



decree dated 12.04.2018 passed by the Family Court, Karkardooma Court, Delhi in HMA No. 433/2018. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.8,50,000/- as full and final settlement shall be paid by petitioner No. 1 to respondent No. 2 and the same has already been paid. In terms of settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioners.

5. Petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as by I.O./ SI Ajit PS Gokulpuri, Delhi. Respondent No.2, who is also present in Court, is identified by the I.O.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has settled her disputes with the petitioners of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settlement amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 15, 2024/rd