



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 1235/2024**

SANJEEV BEHL & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Navjot Kwatra and Mr. Pulkit
Sikka, Advocates with petitioners in
person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Devendra Singh, P.S.
Jafrabad.
Ms. Sandhya Gupta, Advocate for
respondent No.2 with respondent No.
2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

04.03.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 491/2016 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act at P.S. Jafrabad, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos. 2 to 5 are in-laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Jha, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes before the Counselling Cell, Family Court, East District, Karkardooma Court, Delhi on 16.12.2021. In terms of the settlement, petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 17.02.2023 passed by the Family Court, Shahdara, Delhi in HMA No. 1474/22. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.18,00,000/-, as full and final settlement, shall be paid by petitioner No. 1 to respondent No. 2. It is further submitted that out of the settlement amount, the balance amount of Rs.5,00,000/- is being paid today through in the form of an FDR in favour of minor son.

5. Petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their respective counsel as well as by I.O./SI Devendra Singh, P.S. Jafrabad.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has settled her disputes with the petitioners of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.



10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MARCH 4, 2024

ga

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J