



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 52/2024**

LOKESH PRABHAKAR

..... Appellant

Through:

Appellant in person.

Mr Mahesh Kumar Tiwari, Advocate.

versus

ARCHANA PRABHAKAR

..... Respondent

Through:

Mr Satya Ranjan, Mr Kautilya Birat
and Mr Ankush Kapoor, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

10.05.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

REVIEW PET. 121/2024

1. Mr Mahesh Kumar Tiwari, Advocate has joined the proceedings *via* video conferencing.
2. Mr Tiwari says that he seeks discharge from appearing in the present proceedings on behalf of the appellant/review petitioner.
3. The statement of Mr Tiwari is taken on record.
4. Accordingly, Mr Tiwari is discharged from his obligation to appear in the matter.
5. We find no merit in the review petition.
6. It is, accordingly, dismissed.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

MAY 10, 2024/at

Click here to check corrigendum, if any