



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 507/2022**

JITENDER SAINI

..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr.Pathak Rakesh Kaushik and
Mr.Vikaas Chauhan, Advocates**

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: **Mr.Ajay Vikram Singh, APP for the
State with Inspector Sanjay Kumar,
PS. EOW.
Mr.Ayush Jain, Mr.Tushar Thakur
and Mr.Aashish Pandey, Advocates
for the complainant.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA

ORDER

%

06.02.2024

CRL.M.A. 3795/2024

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 3794/2024

An application under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('Cr.P.C.') has been preferred on behalf of the petitioner / applicant seeking restoration and extension of interim bail.

Issue notice. Learned APP for the State and counsel for the complainant appear on advance notice and accept notice.

At the outset, learned APP for the State, on instructions from IO submits that applicant appears to be absconding.



Interim bail of the applicant already stands cancelled vide order dated 08.12.2023 passed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Saurabh Banerjee.

In the facts and circumstances, no grounds for extension of interim bail are made out. Application is accordingly dismissed.

BAIL APPLN. 507/2022

Application for regular bail is also dismissed as infructuous since the petitioner has failed to comply and surrender in terms of the directions issued vide orders dated 20.04.2022 and 08.12.2023, respectively. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J

FEBRUARY 6, 2024/v