



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 5/2021 & CM APPL. 382/2021**

KUMUD SETHI & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajesh Yadav, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Sidharth Arora, Advocate.

versus

RAJ KUMARI BABBAR & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Vibhor Garg & Ms. Ishita Mehta,
Advocates for R-1 & 3.
Mr. Rajesh Baweja, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

07.10.2024

%

1. Learned Counsel for the parties submit that the evidence in the matter is proceeding before the learned Trial Court.
2. Learned Senior Counsel for the Petitioner however submits that the Respondents are joint tenants, but are being represented through separate Counsel and are leading separate evidence which may lead to a delay in the decision.
3. Learned Counsel for the Respondents, on instructions, submit that they will not be taking any unnecessary adjournments in the matter before the learned Trial Court.
- 3.1 The Respondents are bound down to the submissions made by their counsel.



4. Likewise, the learned Counsel for the Petitioner also submits that they shall also not take any unnecessary adjournments before the learned Trial Court.
5. The parties jointly submit that they will endeavour to conclude the trial before the learned Trial Court within next six months.
6. In view of the foregoing, learned Counsel for the parties submit that this matter can be disposed of.
7. Accordingly, the present Petition and all pending Applications are disposed of.
8. The parties shall remain bound to the statements made before this Court by their respective counsels.
9. Parties shall act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

OCTOBER 7, 2024/ ha

Click here to check corrigendum, if any