



\$~96 & 44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CONT.CAS(C) 1058/2024, CM APPL. 38717/2024 –
SEEKING PERMISSION TO PHYSICALLY MEET HIS
MINOR SON

ANKIT SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Prateek Goswami,
Mr.Dhiraj Goswami and
Mr.Shashank Goswami,
Advocates.

versus

PRACHIKA LOCHAN GUPTA

.....Respondent

Through: None.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 242/2024 and CM APPL. 8324/2024

ANKIT SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Prateek Goswami,
Mr.Dhiraj Goswami and
Mr.Shashank Goswami,
Advocates.

versus

PRACHIKA LOCHAN GUPTA

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER

%

16.07.2024

1. The petitioner is seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent/wife for alleged deliberate and wilful disobedience of the directions passed by the learned MM, Mahila Court, Rohini vide order dated 05.10.2023 as well as the order dated



11.01.2024 and 31.05.2024 passed by the learned Family Court.

2. None is present for the respondent despite sending advance notice.

3. The long and short of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the respondent/wife has not cared to comply with the aforesaid directions of the Court and he has been denied visitation /meeting rights with the child since October, 2023.

4. In the opinion of the Court, although the instant proceedings are pending for long, the contempt proceedings are not maintainable. Each and every judicial order, which is flouted by a party, cannot be made a subject matter of petition under Section 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

5. The learned Mahila Court as well as the learned Family Courts have ample powers to execute its orders and pass appropriate orders. The present petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioner/husband/father to approach the learned Mahila Court as well as the learned Family Court for seeking appropriate relief.

6. This order is passed without prejudice.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

JULY 16, 2024/VLD