



\$~4

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1084/2024**

**GEETA DEVI AND ANR**

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Deeksha Mishra, Adv. with  
petitioners through VC.

versus

**STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for  
the State with SI Tilak Raj, P.S.  
Ranhola.  
Mr. Pradeep Kumar & Mr. Sachin  
Rathour, Advs. for R-2 with R-2 in  
person.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA**

**ORDER**

**08.04.2024**

%

1. The present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeks quashing of FIR No. 0586/2021, under Sections 498A/34 IPC, registered at P.S. Ranhola.
2. Amended memo of parties has been filed and the same is taken on record.
3. The marriage between deceased Daleep (son of petitioner no.1) and respondent no.2 was solemnized on 18.04.2019 as per Hindu rites, customs and ceremonies.
4. No child was born out of the said wedlock.
5. Due to matrimonial differences between Daleep (deceased) and



respondent no. 2, the parties started residing separately from 21.11.2019. Subsequently, respondent no.2/complainant lodged an FIR against petitioner no.1 (mother in-law), petitioner no. 2 (father-in-law), petitioner no. 3 (brother-in-law) and petitioner no.4 (brother of father-in-law). It is submitted that during the pendency of the aforesaid FIR, husband of respondent no.2 has passed away.

6. On 31.01.2024, parties arrived at a settlement before Delhi Mediation Centre, Rohini District Courts, Delhi. The copy of the aforesaid settlement deed dated 31.01.2024 is on record (Annexure- P2).

7. Complainant/respondent no. 2 is present before the Court. Petitioners are present through video conferencing and have been duly identified by their respective counsel, as well as the Investigating Officer, SI Tilak Raj, P.S. Ranhola.

8. The complainant/respondent No.2 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioners and she has no objection if the FIR is quashed. She further states that all the terms of the agreement have been complied with.

9. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned APP for the State also has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

10. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to



an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”

11. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No. 0586/2021, under Sections 498A/34 IPC, registered at P.S. Ranhola.

12. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 0586/2021, under Sections 498A/34 IPC, registered at P.S. Ranhola, is hereby quashed.

13. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

14. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**AMIT SHARMA, J**

**APRIL 08, 2024/nk**

*[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)*