



\$~89

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 1037/2024**

VIKAS AND ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Deeksha Mishra, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Arun Kumar, P.S. Adarsh
Nagar.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **19.03.2024**

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.234/2016 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Adarsh Nagar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos. 2 to 5 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Sabharwal, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes out of Court. In terms of the settlement, petitioner No.1



and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 29.07.2017 passed by the Family Court, Rohtak, Haryana in CIS No. 741/2016. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.6,00,000/-, as full and final settlement, shall be paid by petitioner No. 1 to respondent No. 2 as full and final settlement. It is further submitted that entire settlement amount has already been paid to respondent No.2.

5. Petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as by IO/ SI Arun Kumar, P.S. Adarsh Nagar. Respondent No.2, who is also present in Court, has been identified by the I.O.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has settled her disputes with the petitioners of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MARCH 19, 2024/ga