



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

% ***Date of decision:09.02.2024***

+ **W.P.(CRL) 437/2024**

PRAVEEN KUMAR Petitioner

Through: **Mr.Vinay Bhushan, Adv.**

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR. Respondents

Through: **Mr.Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel
(Crl) with Ms.Priyam Agarwal &
Mr.Abhinav Kr. Arya, Advs.
SI Wikhom Permai HC Jitendra PS
Malviya Nagar.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Present petition has been filed as Habeas Corpus under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to the respondent No.2 to produce the missing wife of the petitioner.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that on 10.08.2023, his father-in-law came to his house along with two relatives and informed that his mother-in-law is ill. Thereafter, his wife had gone to her maternal home. On 01.02.2024, it had been found by the petitioner that his in-laws had left for Punjab along with the wife of the petitioner and that they had blocked the



number of the petitioner and that he was not allowed to meet his wife. Consequently, he made a complaint to the police on 01.02.2024 i.e. on the same day, but no satisfactory response was given and the police has not made any effort to find out the whereabouts of his wife till date.

3. Notice issued.

4. Mr.Sanjay Lao, learned Standing Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the State/respondent and has placed before this Court status report dated 09.02.2024, wherein it is stated that after receiving the complaint of the petitioner, the petitioner's father-in-law was contacted telephonically and was investigated about petitioner's wife. The wife of the petitioner also informed on phone that she was in Amritsar with her family as the petitioner had thrown her out of the matrimonial house.

5. The wife of the petitioner has appeared through video conferencing today and submits that all the allegations, which the petitioner has made in the petition, are false and that the petitioner rather compelled her to leave the matrimonial house, due to which she is forced to live at her parental home.

6. The status report dated 09.02.2024 is taken on record and we have perused the same.

7. In view of the statement given by the missing wife of the petitioner through video conferencing, no further order is required to be passed in the present petition.

8. The petition is disposed of.

(SURESH KUMAR KAIT)
JUDGE

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

FEBRUARY 09, 2024/ab