



\$~32&33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 1682/2024 & CM APPL. 6970/2024**
JAGDISH KUMARPetitioner
Through: Ms. Ujala Vishnoi, Advocate (through VC).

versus
DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS.Respondent
Through: Ms. Manika Tripathy, SC with Mr. Rony John, Advocates.
Ms. Vrinda Kapoor with Ms. Saumya Soni and Mr. Vishal Vaid, Advocates.

33
+ **W.P.(C) 2062/2024 & CM APPL. 8600/2024**
TILAK RAJPetitioner
Through: Ms. Ujala Vishnoi, Advocate (through VC).

versus
DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS.Respondent
Through: Ms. Manika Tripathy, SC with Mr. Rony John, Advocates.
Ms. Nanda Devi Deka with Mr. Shashwat, Advocates.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **17.12.2024**

1. Learned counsel for petitioners, at the very outset, submits that in both the above said writ petitions, the positive recommendation has already been given by the SDM, Punjabi Bagh and their names have been recommended to DDA for handing them over the possession of flats.
2. After hearing arguments for some time, learned counsel for petitioner submits that she would be left with no grievance if DDA is requested to

W.P.(C) 1682/2024 & W.P.(C) 2062/2024

1



consider the above said recommendations in a time-bound manner.

3. It is also apprised that these recommendations were sent to DDA by the concerned SDM way back on 19.06.2024.
4. Keeping in mind the overall facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that DDA now, merely, has to consider the above said recommendations sent by concerned SDM, both the above said writ petitions are disposed of with the direction to consider the recommendation given by the concerned SDM, preferably, within eight weeks from today.
5. Needless to say, petitioner would be at liberty to revive the petitions, in case, they are aggrieved by the outcome of such decision of DDA.
6. The petitions stand disposed of, accordingly.

MANOJ JAIN, J

DECEMBER 17, 2024/sw