



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1172/2022**

RISHI JINDAL

..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Prateek Jindal, Advocate**

versus

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION, THROUGH ITS CPIO
& ANR.**

..... Respondents

Through: **Mr. Sushil Raaja, SPC for UoI.
Ms. Tanu Priya Gupta and Ms.
Khushi Sharma, Advs for MCD.**

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD**

**ORDER
24.01.2024**

%

1. Petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayers:

“a. To allow this writ and issue a writ or order or direction thereby taking appropriate action against the Respondent No. 1 & 2 for violating the Fundamental Rights of the Petitioner.

b. To issue order/decreed in favour of Petitioner and against the Respondents to compensate for the violation of the fundamental rights of the Petitioner.

c. To pass any other or further order/s as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

2. The grievance of the Petitioner is that the Petitioner needs to be compensated for delay in supply of the information sought for by the



Petitioner under the RTI Act.

3. Section 19(8) of the RTI Act delineates the power of the Central Information Commission for awarding compensation for delay in furnishing the information. Section 20 of the RTI Act gives power to the CIC to impose penalty on the CPIO for not supplying the information sought for within the stipulated time frame.

4. The Writ Petition is disposed of with liberty to the Petitioner to take recourse to the remedy provided for under the RTI Act. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

JANUARY 24, 2024

Rahul