



\$~58

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 352/2024**

**SAIKAT MUKHOPADHYAY & ORS. ....Petitioner**

Through: **Mr. Anchit Sharma and Mr. Mohd.  
Faraz Khan, Advs.**

**versus**

**STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS. ....Respondent**

Through: **Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for State  
with Mr. Alok Sharma and Mr. Vasu  
Agarwal, Advs.**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL**

**ORDER**

**% 10.12.2024**

1. Counsel for the State informs that charge-sheet has already been filed and the petition is, therefore, infructuous.
2. A perusal of the prayer in the petitioner would indicate that it is essentially for direction of a fair investigation.
3. Needless to state the petitioner would have remedies available in accordance with law if they have any issue relating to the charge-sheet, if any, of the charges framed subsequently.
4. The petition is, therefore, disposed of, with the abovesaid directions.
5. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

**ANISH DAYAL, J**

**DECEMBER 10, 2024/MK**