



\$~37 & 38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 785/2024**
NADEEM AND OTHERSPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rashid Hashmi, Advocate for
petitioner nos. 1 and 2.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Hemat Mehla, APP for State with
SI Priyank Rana, PS: Darya Ganj.
Mr. Arshad Khan, Mr. Razia Sultana,
Advocates for R-2.

+ **CRL.M.C. 821/2024**
NADEEM AND ORSPetitioners

Through: Mr. Rashid Hashmi, Advocate for
petitioner nos. 1 and 2.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Hemat Mehla, APP for State W/SI
Anita Kumari, PS: Jama Masjid.
Mr. Arshad Khan, Mr. Razia Sultana,
Advocates for R-2.
Mr. J. Ashroof, Advocate for R-4.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER
27.09.2024

%

1. These petitions have been filed seeking quashing of FIR no. 257/2014 and 124/2014 registered at PS Darya Ganj and PS Jama Masjid, Delhi under Sections 323/315/511 of IPC and Sections 498/406/34 IPC based on compromise arrived at between the parties dated 12th January, 2024 which is



on record of this Court.

2. As per the said settlement, outstanding balance of 4 lacs is being paid today *vide* DD nos. 198225 and 198227. The same has been handed over to respondent no.2 who has duly received it in Court.

3. Petitioners in both these matters are present in Court and are duly identified by IO and the respective counsel. Respondent no.2 has no objection to the quashing of the FIR.

4. The marriage of petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 has since culminated in a divorce. One female child was born out of wedlock namely Alima Khan.

5. Considering the above settlement between the parties and the chances of conviction of the petitioners being remote and bleak, there is no use continuing with proceedings of the present FIR as it would be a misuse of the process of the Court and an unnecessary burden on the State exchequer. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. Consequently, the FIR No. 257/2014 and 124/2014 under Sections 323/315/511 of IPC and Sections 498/406/34 IPC registered at PS Darya Ganj and PS Jama Masjid, Delhi and proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

6. Parties shall abide by the terms of settlement.

7. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of. Pending applications (if any) are disposed of as infructuous.

8. It is however made clear that the said settlement will not affect the rights of the minor child in future.

9. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

SEPTEMBER 27, 2024/RK