



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**% **Date of decision: 31.01.2024**+ W.P.(CRL) 328/2024
D BALA MURUGAN

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Rashi Aggarwal, Advocate.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, standing counsel
(Crl.) with Ms. Priyam Aggarwal,
Advocate with Inspector Naveen
Kumar, PS Karol Bagh.**CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN****JUDGMENT (oral)**

1. The present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has been instituted on behalf of the petitioner, praying as follows: -

“a) accept this petition and to issue appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Habeas Corpus thereby directing and commanding the Respondents No.1 to 5 to secure the liberty and custody of Mrs. Priyanka Sangwan from the unlawful detention and custody by the Respondents No.5 to 7;”

2. The alleged missing daughter, who is major, has been produced in the Court and she submits that there is some settlement in their families. She also states that she is not under any illegal confinement.

3. Though, she got married in the year 2019, in Arya Samaj Mandir however, their families would now like to perform social ceremony.



4. In view of the above, no order is required to be passed as even therefore, she is major and is at liberty to take her own decision.
5. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(SURESH KUMAR KAIT)
JUDGE

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

JANUARY 31, 2024/sw