



\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 707/2022 & CM APPL. 2009/2022 (stay)**

RAJPUTANA SHAKTI INTERNATIONAL LLP & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ganesh Khanna, Adv.

versus

**RAILWAY BOARD MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS GOVERNMENT
OF INDIA & ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Sharma, CGSC with Mr.
Ayush Bhatt, Ms. Sonika Rathore,
Mr. Sparsh Chaudhary and Ms.
Praneeta Sharma, Advs.
Mr. Ravinder Agarwal and Mr. Lekh
Raj Singh, Advs. for CVC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

31.07.2024

1. The petitioners in the instant petition seek for implementation of Circular dated 15.04.1999 issued by respondent No.2- Central Vigilance Commission [**“respondent No.2-CVC”**]. The petitioner is *alien* to the aforesaid Circular and he has no locus to seek for implementation thereto.
2. The Circular in question appears to have been issued by respondent No.2-CVC as a guideline to keep an eye on the working of the Officers posted in different Departments.
3. The petitioners who appear to be Contractor cannot be said to have any grievance with respect to the aforesaid Circular. They cannot ask for issuance of mandamus on the basis of Circular in question.



4. The Court, therefore, has a serious doubt about the *bona fide* of the petitioners and therefore, the instant petition appears to have been filed to settle a score with the private respondent.

5. Accordingly, the Court is not inclined to entertain the instant petition and the same is accordingly, dismissed.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

JULY 31, 2024/P