



\$~68

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 710/2024 & CRL.M.A. 2852/2024**

MANISH SHARMA & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajesh Vasisht and Mr. Abhitesh
Sabbarwal, Advocates with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Laksh Khanna, APP for State
with SI Ranjana PS Bindapur and SI
Lal Bahadur PS Mohan Garden,
Delhi.

Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

23.02.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 0567/2021 registered under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Mohan Garden, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 are parents-in-law of the complainant.
3. Mr. Laksh Khanna, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the only complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 17.12.2022



which is filed along with the petition. In terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 15.07.2023 passed by the Family Court, Dwarka, New Delhi in HMA No. 2241/2023. It is stated that all payments towards respondent No.2's claims qua maintenance, stridhan, alimony, etc have been made.

5. Petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as by I.O./ SI Ranjana PS Bindapur and SI Lal Bahadur PS Mohan Garden, Delhi.

6. Respondent No. 2, who is also present in Court and identified by the I.O., states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 23, 2024/rd