



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1169/2024, CM APPLs. 4927/2024, 14008/2024 &
29630/2024

SH. SANJAY SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Akhil Sachar, Ms.Sunanda
Tulsyan and Ms.Kashish, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Pavan Narang, CGSrPC,
Mr.Himanshu Sethi and
Ms.Aishwarya Chhabra, Advs for
R-1.
Mr.Vedansh Anand, Adv for UOI.
Mr.Satya Narayan and Ms.Meena
Kumnri, Advs for R-2 to 4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

05.09.2024

1. It appears that during the pendency of the instant petition, the election in question has already been conducted and the new body has also been elected on 14.07.2024.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, however, raises certain grievances with respect to the manner in which the respondents have taken action against the petitioner.
3. The Court, in the instant writ petition is not required to adjudicate all those aspects and instead grants liberty to the petitioner to take necessary



recourse in accordance with law to challenge any of the actions which according to him have been taken by the respondent illegally or unauthorisedly.

4. Leaving all contentions open to be agitated before the appropriate forum, the instant writ petition stands disposed of alongwith the pending applications.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J
SEPTEMBER 5, 2024/MJ