



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 57/2024 & I.A. 1878/2024

M/S B. M. CONSTRUCTION CO. Petitioner
Through: Mr. Himanshu Upadhyay,
Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA Respondent
Through: Ms. Pratima N. Lakra, CGSC with
Mr. R.K. Uppal, AXEN,
Construction-II, Shivaji Bridge,
New Delhi.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER
% **25.01.2024**

1. This is a petition under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, seeking extension of the mandate of the learned Arbitral Tribunal which is adjudicating disputes between the parties pursuant to an order dated 16.12.2019 in ARB.P. 687/2019.
2. I am informed that the arbitration proceedings are at the stage of arguments of the respondent. The mandate of the learned Arbitral Tribunal is due to lapse on 05.02.2024.
3. Ms. Pratima N. Lakra, learned Central Government Standing Counsel, appears on advance instructions, and submits that the respondent has no objection to the mandate of the learned Arbitral Tribunal being extended.



4. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the petition is allowed and the mandate of the learned Arbitral Tribunal is extended for six months with effect from 05.02.2024.

5. The petition is disposed of in these terms.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

JANUARY 25, 2024

“Bhupi”/