



\$~4

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

RC.REV. 25/2024 & CM Appl.4598/2024

SHRI DEV ANAND

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jai Shankar, Adv .with Ms. Nandita Rao, Mr. Amit Kumar Debey, Mr. Mayank Beroya, Mr. Manoj Kumar Makhija, Mr. Ashish Kumar and MR. Dev Anand, Advs. alongwith Petitioner in person.

versus

SMT. RAJKUMARI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Sonia A. Menon, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

%

08.10.2024

1. A request for an adjournment is made on behalf of the learned Counsel for the Petitioner/tenant.
2. Learned Counsel for the Respondent/landlord objects to the said request and submits that the possession of the subject premises has already been taken during the execution proceedings by the Respondent/landlord. She further submits that the same request was made by the Petitioner on the last date of hearing i.e., 29.05.2024.
3. Learned Counsel for the Respondent/landlord further submits that since the possession of the subject premises has already been taken, the present Petition has become infructuous.
4. Learned Counsel for the Respondent/landlord on instructions submits that the Respondent/landlord will not undertake any proceedings to recover the arrears of rent or use and occupation charges from the Petitioner/tenant.



- 4.1 The Respondent is bound down to the statement made by her Counsel.
5. Given this submission, learned Counsel for the Petitioner/tenant seeks and is granted liberty to withdraw the present Petition.
- 5.1 The Petition and all pending Applications are dismissed as withdrawn.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

OCTOBER 8, 2024/SA

Click here to check corrigendum, if any