



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **ITA 471/2019**
PR. COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX- 2, DELHI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr Ruchir Bhatia, SSC, Mr Anant Mann, JSC and Ms Aditi Sabharwal, Advocate.

versus

M/S. BURBERRY INDIA PVT. LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr SS Tomar, Mr Anil Kumar and Mr Vishal Kalra, Advocate for applicant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

03.03.2025

%

CM APPL. 10499/2025 (correction of order dated 24.10.2024)

1. This is an application filed on behalf of the respondent/Assessee for rectification of the order dated 24.10.2024 passed by this court in the above captioned appeal.
2. It is apparent that a typographical error has crept in paragraph no. 40 of the decision as it mentions the DRP's decision as 'sustainable' instead of 'unsustainable'.
3. In view of the above, paragraph no. 40 of the judgment dated 24.10.2024 is rectified to read as under: -

“40. There is no cavil that the AMP activities are a part of the functional profile of the assessee. In the given facts, the DRP's decision that the assessee was not a 'routine distributor' is clearly 'unsustainable'.”



4. The application is disposed of.
5. The Registry is directed to upload the rectified copy of the decision on the web portal of this court on the strength of this order.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TEJAS KARIA, J

MARCH 03, 2025

M

Click here to check corrigendum, if any