



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.APP.(C) 8/2024 & CM APPL. 4011/2024**

SANJAY ARORA & ANR. Appellants

Through: Mr. Shobhana Takiar, Advocate

versus

RAJAN CHADHA & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Bhuvanesh Sehgal, Mr. Akshay
Sharma and Mr. Dev Pratap Shahi,
Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **22.01.2024**

CM APPL. 4012/2024 (for exemption)

Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Application is disposed of.

CONT.APP.(C) 8/2024 & CM APPL. 4011/2024

1. The relevant paragraphs i.e. paras 19, 20 & 21 of the order dated December 5, 2023 passed by the learned Single Judge are reproduced herein as under:-

19. For the said reasons, I am of the view that respondent No. 1 is guilty of intentionally and malefidely violating the orders dated 11.06.2020 and 01.07.2020 and thus, has committed contempt of the orders of the Court.

20. 4 weeks are granted to the respondent to purge the



contempt, failing which respondent No. 1 shall file an affidavit as to why he should not be punished under the Contempt of Courts Act within 2 weeks thereafter.

21. List on 05.03.2024.”

2. We are of the view that the law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in case of ***D. N. Taneja vs. Bhajan Lal*** 1988 SCC (3) 26, the present appeal is not maintainable and the same is dismissed as not maintainable.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JANUARY 22, 2024/akr