



2024:DHC:677



\$~76

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Date of decision: 30.01.2024

+ CRL.M.C. 481/2024
RAKESH KUMAR & ANR. Petitioners
Through: Mr. Abhimanyu Yadav, Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP with
ASI Vinod Kumar.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

NAVIN CHAWLA, J. (ORAL)

CRL.M.A. 2906/2024

1. This application has been filed seeking correction of a clerical error in the judgment/order dated 22.01.2024.
2. It is stated that the statement of the respondent no.2 was recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (in short, 'Cr.P.C.') and not under Section 164 of the Cr. P.C., as has been mentioned in the paragraph 6 of the said judgment.
3. Accordingly, the judgment dated 22.01.2024 shall stand modified to the limited extent that in paragraph 6 of the same, the reference to the statement of the respondent no.2 shall be read as 'her statement recorded under Section 161 of the Cr. P.C'. The same shall now read as under:

*"6. The learned counsel for the petitioners
further submits that even as per the allegations*



2024:DHC:677



made by the respondent no.2 in her statement recorded under Section 161 of the Cr.P.C., the entire cause of action, if any, had taken place at Jind, Haryana and, therefore, there would be lack of territorial jurisdiction as far as the subject FIR is concerned.”

4. The application is disposed of accordingly.
5. This order be uploaded as a corrigendum of the judgment dated 22.01.2024.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

JANUARY 30, 2024/Arya/am

Click here to check corrigendum, if any