



\$~72 & 73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 239/2024

AKRAR LLIYAS AHMED

..... Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

THE STATE OF N.C.T OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Laksh Khanna, APP for State
with SI Hemant, Special Cell.
Mr. Nisheeth Dixit, Advocate for
complainant.

+ BAIL APPLN. 630/2024

SANDEEP @ SONU

..... Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

STATE OF GNCTD

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Laksh Khanna, APP for State
with SI Hemant, Special Cell.
Mr. Nisheeth Dixit, Advocate for
complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

29.04.2024

CRL.M.A. 12727/2024 (for direction by complainant) in BAIL APPLN. 239/2024

CRL.M.A. 12806/2024 (for direction by complainant) in BAIL APPLN. 630/2024

1. Learned counsel for the applicant/complainant states that through he had appeared on 16.04.2024, however inadvertently his presence could not be marked on that date. Learned APP confirms the said factum.
2. For the reasons mentioned in the applications, the same are allowed



and the presence of learned counsel for the applicant/complainant be read in the order dated 16.04.2024.

3. The applications are disposed of accordingly.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 29, 2024/rd