



\$~77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RC.REV. 53/2019 & CM APPL. 4544/2019**
SUNIL SINGH @ SUNIL DUTTPetitioner
Through: None.

versus

VINOD KUMAR SHARMARespondent
Through: Mr. S.S. Panwar with Mr. Ravi
Panwar, Ms. Nividita Panwar, Ms.
Punam Singh, Advocates

CORAM:
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN

ORDER

% **22.07.2024**

1. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner when the case was called for hearing at 04:35 P.M.
2. Mr. S. S. Panwar, Advocate for the respondent stated that the possession of the tenanted premises has already been restored.
3. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in the prosecution of the case.
4. The present petition is dismissed in default as well as for non-prosecution.

DR. SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN, J

JULY 22, 2024
j/am