



\$~27.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 16/2023**

SAMEER MADAN

..... Appellant

Through: Mr Sanjay K Chadha, Advocate.

versus

VANDANA MADAN

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Dhanesh Relan, Mr Arindam Dey
and Mr Aditya Pandey, Advocates.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER
16.02.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. Pursuant to the order dated 30.01.2024, parties have placed before us a settlement agreement [in short "SA"] dated 14.02.2024. The parties have appended their signatures to the SA.

1.1 Counsel for the parties have identified the signatures of their respective clients.

1.2 The SA is also accompanied by affidavits of the appellant, i.e. Mr Sameer Madan and the respondent, i.e. Ms Vandana Madan.

2. We have perused the SA. The terms of the SA are lawful.

3. Given the SA arrived at between the parties, counsel for the parties

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 16/2023

Page 1 of 2



say that the appeal may be disposed of in terms of the SA.

4. It is ordered accordingly.

5. We may note that the appellant, i.e. Mr Sameer Madan and the respondent, i.e. Ms Vandana Madan have joined the proceedings *via* video conferencing. They inform us that the SA has been executed by them with free will and without coercion. Furthermore, the parties also inform that they have been appended their signatures on the SA.

5.1 The statement of the parties is taken on record.

6. Parties will abide by the terms of the SA.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

FEBRUARY 16, 2024

kd

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 16/2023

Page 2 of 2